SEP 2 1 2006

		•		JLT 2 2006									
I hereby sufficien on the b D&te: 9	elow date:	CEF correspond envelope ad _Name: _Ste	ence is biddressed	SE OF MAILING LADE in deposited will the U to: Condition of the Condition	ER 37 C.F.I	R. §1.8 Postal Box 153	Service 50 Alexa	as illes dess andra, VA 22	mail, 313-1		H	RINKS	
In re	Appln. of:			IITED STATES I al.	PATENT	ΓΑΝ	D TR	ADEMAI	RK (OFFICE		LIONE	
Appln. No.: 10/056,297								Examiner: Ghassem Alie					
Filed	• •	January 25, 2002						Art Unit: 3724					
For:		LIGHT BEAM ALIGNMENT SYSTE				Λ		Customer No. 00757					
Attorney Docket		t No:		0-611 i-0791 PUS)									
Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450							TRANSMITTAL						
Sir:													
Attach	ned is/are:												
\boxtimes	An Issue Fee Transmittal w/Part B-Fee(s) (PTOL-85); w/check for \$1700.00 (Issue Fee \$1400, & Publication fee \$300); Request for Review of Patent Term Adjustment; Certificate Under 37 CFR 3.73(b); Assignment Recordal cover sheet and deed of gift (\$40 recordal fee);												
\boxtimes	Return Rece	-	•	•									
Fee ca	alculation:				•								
\boxtimes	No additional fee is required.												
	Small Entity.												
	An extension	n fee in a	n amou	nt of \$ for a _	mon	ith ext	ensior	of time un	der 3	37 C.F.R.	§ 1.136(a).	
	A petition or	processi	ng fee ii	n an amount of \$	under	37 C	.F.R. §	1.17(_).				
\boxtimes	An additiona	I filing fe	e has be	een calculated as sh	own belo	w:							
				 			Small Entity			Not a S	mall Entity		
	Claims Rer After Ame			Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	R	ate	Add'l Fee	or	Rate	Add'l Fee		
Total			Minus			17-	x \$25=			x \$50=			
IIndep.			Minus				x 100=			x \$200=			
First Pr	resentation of N	/ultiple De	p. Claim			1	\$180=			+ \$360=		_	
							Total	\$	<u> </u>	Total	\$	_	
Fee pa	ayment:												
\boxtimes	Checks in th	e amoun	t of \$ <u>17</u>	00 (issue fee) and \$	40 (record	dal fe	e) are	enclosed.					
	Please charg purpose.	ge Depos	it Accou	unt No. 23-1925 in tl	he amoun	t of \$.	A co	oy of this T	ransı	mittal is e	nclosed fo	r this	
	Payment by credit card in the amount of \$ (Form PTO-2038 is attached).												
The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 C any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR § 1.17 associated with this paper (including any required to ensure that this paper is timely filed), or to credit any overpayment, to Debosit Account No. 2										ding any e	extension fee		
	1 1	2001		,,,,		Л	iy ut	1 /	ت ا الم	1	_		
Date		<i></i>			\$tep	en C	. Smitl	h (Reg. No.	56,2	250)		_	
	•				\)	1						

★ / hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, with sufficient postage, as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

SEP 2 1 2006 W Stephen

Commissioner for Patents
Mail Stop ISSUE FEE
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, VA 22313-1450
on 9 19 2006

Date of Deposit

Stephen C. Smith, Reg. No. 56,250

Name of applicant, assignee or Registered Representative

> Signature 9 | 19 | 2006

Date of Signature

Our Case No. 10710-611 Client Ref No. PTG 0791 PUS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Weusthof et al.))
Serial No. 10/056,297	Examiner: Ghassem Alie
Filing Date: January 25, 2002) Group Art Unit No. 3724)
Title: Light Beam Alignment System))
)

REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

It has recently come to our attention that there may be an error in the patent term adjustment calculated by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office for the above-referenced patent application. Specifically, the patent term adjustment pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b) of 68 days indicated on the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment, PTOL-85, may extend the term of this patent by too many days.

Specifically, the PTA calculation may be incorrect because it does not include 60 days of Applicant delay between the Office Action dated December 28, 2004 and the Request for Continued Examination that was filed on May 27, 2005. In reviewing the PTA calculation on PAIR, the undersigned attorney noted that the RCE was incorrectly listed as filed on May 27, 2004, rather than the actual filing date of May 27, 2005.

Please review the calculation of the patent term adjustment and make corrections, if necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen C. Smith

Attorney Reg. No. 56,250 Attorney for Applicants

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610

Telephone: (312) 222-8124 Facsimile: (312) 321-4299